

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/458,689	12/10/1999	RYO FUJIMOTO	35.G2512	9176
5514	7590 06/18/2003		·	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			EXAMINER	
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			PANNALA, SATHYANARAYA R	
,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2177	10
		DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/458,689	FUJIMOTO ET AL.
Navicory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sathyanarayan Pannala	2177
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 05 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	If extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF		
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-57</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. $\hfill \square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·
10.□ Other: (), \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	· ~ /	
GRETA RÓBINS PRIMARY EXAMI		Sathyanarayan Pannala

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new issues include: 1) "Single image and a word describing an appearance relationship between two or more objects in the single image" 2) "Plurality of objects in the single image." 3) "storing identification information concerning plurality of objects contained in a single image" of claim 1, 10,18, 27, 35, 44.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The new issues include: 1) "Single image and a word describing an appearance relationship between two or more objects in the single image" 2) "Plurality of objects in the single image." 3) "storing identification information concerning plurality of objects contained in a single image" of claim 1, 10,18, 27, 35, 44.